IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.159 OF 2006
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.92 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.7061 OF 2004

Pathan Harun Abdul Sattar & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Nashik District Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Patankar with Mr.Mandar Limaye for Petitioners.

Mr.K.Y.Mandlik for Respondent No.1.

Mr.A.R.Patil, A.G.P. for Respondents 2 and 3.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JANUARY 11, 2007.

P.C.

1. Mr.Mandlik appears for Respondent No.1. He has no objection for allowing this Application, as the matter was dismissed for default because of the mistake of the Advocate. Respondent No.1 is the contesting party. A.G.P. appears for Respondents 2 and 3 who submits that those Respondents would submit to the orders of the Court.

2. Accordingly, for the reasons mentioned in Para 2 of the Application being sufficient cause, Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.